Supercomputing 2009

SmartStore: A New Metadata Organization Paradigm with Semantic-Awareness for Next-Generation File Systems



Outline

- Motivations
- SmartStore System
- Key Issues
- Performance Evaluation
- Discussion and Conclusion

Motivations

Some Facts

□ Storage capacity → Exabyte (or even larger)
 □ Amounts of Files → Billions
 □ Metadata-based transactions → over 50%
 □ Hierarchical directory tree → Performance Bottleneck

Inefficiency of current file systems

Static and inflexible I/O interfaces
 Linearly brute-force searching
 Lack of full utilization of semantics

Conventional Directory Trees





This tree is too FAT !

This tree is too HIGH !

Ideal Scenarios

User requirements

Quickly return queried results with acceptable tradeoff

Obtain interested knowledge from data ocean to guide higher-level services

Query for high-dimensional data

- System requirements
 - Scalability
 Reliability

Performance improvements

Intuition

Reduce search space

□ Not entire large-scale file system

Search correlated metadata

Configure a context related to queries

Desirable interfaces

□ Such as range query and top-k query, i.e., complex queries;

Examples: Complex Queries

Range Query: Which files are created no more than 30 min. and larger than 2.6GB?



Top-k Query:

Can the system show 10 files that are closest to the description that <u>file size is around 300MB and</u> <u>was last visited around Jan.1, 2008 ?</u>

Our Approach: SmartStore

- **Basic ideas:**
 - □ Semantic: correlation represented by multidimensional attributes of file metadata
 - □ Group files based on metadata semantic correlations by using *Latent Semantic Indexing* (LSI) tool
 - **Query and other relevant operations can be completed** *within one or a small number of such groups.*
- Our goal is to avoid or minimize brute-force search that is widely used in a directory-tree based file system during a complex query.

Comparisons with Conventional File Systems





Semantic Grouping

Design Objectives

Group sizes are approximately equal.

A file in a group has a higher correlation with other files in this group than with any file outside of the group



System Architecture

- Grouping correlated metadata into storage and index units based on the LSI
- **Construction of** semantic R-trees in a distributed environment
- **Multiple operations**

Semantic Grouping



Constructing a Semantic R-tree.

- Semantic R-tree leaf nodes as storage units
- > The non-leaf nodes as *index units*



SmartStore functions

- Insertion
- Deletion
- On-line Query Approaches
 - **Range Query**
 - **Top-K Query**
 - **Point Query**

Key issues: on-line & off-line

- Accelerate queries
 - Off-line pre-processing
- Each storage unit locally maintains a replica of the semantic vectors of all first-level index units to speed up the queries
- Lazy updating to deal with information staleness

Key Issues: on-line vs off-line



Key Issues: Consistency Guarantee via Versioning

- Multi-replica technique can potentially lead to information staleness and inconsistency.
- Lazy Versioning:
 - A newly created version attached to its correlated replica temporarily contains aggregated real-time changes that have not been directly updated in the original replicas
 - SmartStore removes attached versions when reconfiguring index units
 - □ The **frequency** of reconfiguration depends on the user requirements and environment constraints

Key issues: Mapping of Index Units

 Our mapping is based on a simple bottom-up approach that iteratively applies random selection and labeling operations.



Performance Evaluation

- Prototype Implementation
- Large file system-level traces, including HP, MSN, and EECS by using *Trace Intensifying Factor*
- Compared with typical DBMS and R-tree
- Query latency reduction: 1000 times
- □ Space savings: 20 times

Complex Queries Latency

Query Types	TIF	MSN Trace					EECS Trace			
		DBMS	R-tree	Sı	nartStor	e	DBMS	R-tree	S	martStore
Point Query	120	146.7	32.6	\Box	0.108	П	26.4	8.6	Π	0.074
	160	378.6	122.5		0.179		168.9	42.1		0.136
Range Query	120	1516.5	242.5		1.63		685.2	126.3		1.56
	160	3529.6	625.7		3.41	Π	1859.1	293.1		2.87
Top-k Query	120	4651.8	492.5		2.48		2076.1	196.8		2.25
	160	11524.6	1528.4		4.02		6519.3	571.7		3.47
					$\overline{\bigcirc}$					$\overline{\bigcirc}$

Preliminary Simulation Results

$$recall = \frac{\left|T(q) \cap A(q)\right|}{T(q)}$$

T(q) is the ideal answer for query q A(q) is the actual query results







Discussion

SmartStore does work for:

- Pay-only-once: configuration efficiency for a long time due to complexity for semantic analysis;
- Rich semantics of multi-dimensional attributes to guarantee the groups to match access patterns well
- SmartStore does not efficiently work for:
 - Lack of semantics, such as uniform distribution;
 Quick and dynamic evolution of semantics;
 Explicit scatter of dimension increments;

Potential Applications

Users' views

Range query and top-k query

System views

De-duplication

Caching

Pre-fetching

Conclusions

SmartStore is a new paradigm for organizing file metadata for next-generation file systems

Exploit file semantics

Complex queries

Enhance system scalability and functionality.

Methodology

Semantic aggregation

Decrease search space

Acknowledgement

This work is partially supported by

□ NSFC under Grant 60703046

National Basic Research 973 Program under Grant 2004CB318201

□ NSF CCF-0621526, NSF CCF-0937993, NSF CCF-0937988 and NSF CCF-0621493

□ *HUST-SRF No.2007Q021B*

 The Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University No. IRT-0725.
 26

Thanks & Questions