Announcements

- HW#10 was posted
- Don’t forget project topics Thursday
Virtualization

- Lets you run multiple operating system images, giving each the illusion that they are running on distinct hardware.
- The OSes are context-switched between, much as processes are context-switched under an OS.
- When running inside a fully virtualized system, code should not be able to tell it’s not running on bare metal.
- The OSes are isolated and one crashing should not affect
any of others.
Why virtualize?

- Server consolidation – if you have multiple servers, each using 10% of CPU, can put them on one big server
- Security – can give each critical task its own full OS instance, so if something goes wrong it won’t affect the others (this is harder to do with processes on an OS)
- Multiple OSes – can run multiple OSes (Windows, Linux, Etc) on same machine at same time
- Ease of deployment – can make OS snapshots/images
and can quickly bring up and down on other machine without having to install
Downsides of virtualization?

• Like any layer of abstraction: Overhead
• Slow, slow, slow
Terms

TODO: draw a diagram

- Guest – the operating system running inside a virtual system
- Host – the operating system running on bare metal (may be a hypervisor instead)
- VM (virtual machine) not virtual memory – the software/hardware that provides the virtual hardware interface
• Hypervisor – the software that controls bringing up and controlling the guest operating systems
Are you ever running on real hardware?

- Some modern machines all you ever get to run on is the VM
- VM (some power machines, ps3, never run on raw hardware)
- Nested VM
- SMM mode (system maintenance mode)
Full Simulation

- Emulate the entire CPU and all hardware in software
- Full system simulators, such as Qemu
- What’s the downside of this? (slow, slow, slow)
Full Virtualization

- “Virtualize the CPU”
  Run instructions as normal, but anything that gives away it is virtual must trap to the hypervisor.

- Trap on access to hardware and simulate (with Qemu or similar)

- KVM

- VMware
KVM

- Kernel-based virtual machine
- Hardware-assisted virtualization
- Requires CPU with hardware virtualization extensions
- Kernel acts as hypervisor
- Provides /dev/kvm
  - Sets up address space
  - Provide boot firmware
  - Display hardware
Popek and Goldberg virtualization requirements


- equivalence (fidelity): a program running under a VM should behave identical to running on bare metal monitor (VMM) should

- resource control (safety): the VM must control all resources
• efficiency (performance): most instructions must execute without intervention
Hardware Virtualization Extensions (CPU)

- IBM System/370 in 1972
- x86 chips by default were not, leak too much info.
- Intel VT-x and AMD-V
x86 virtualization

A Comparison of Software and Hardware Techniques for x86 Virtualization by Adams and Agesen, ASPLOS 2006. VMware managed full virt on 32-bit x86 using dynamic binary instrumentation and segmentation.

- De-privledging: any attempt to read privileged info traps and can be intercepted
- Shadow structures: need copies of things that can’t be intercepted at CPU level, like page-tables. Need to trap on access to these. True vs hidden page faults.
• x86 issues (assume protected mode)
  ○ visible privileged state (see privilege mode when read CS register; CPL (privilege level) lower 2 bits)
  ○ Lack of traps when privileged instructions run at user-level.
  ○ popf (pop flags) changes both ALU and system flags (IF, enable interrupts). When run non-privileged ignores this, doesn’t trap.

• Intel VT-x and AMD-V
  ○ 2006
  ○ Adds virtual machine code block
- Intel: extended page tables (nested page tables)
- VMCS shadowing: allow nested VMs
Paravirtualization

- Hypervisor creates a special API that the guest OS uses (operating system must be modified)

- Can be faster (talk directly to hypervisor, no need to emulate hardware)

- Xen – uses stripped down Linux as hypervisor?

- Need specially compiled kernel that knows about hypervisor interfaces
Containers

- Login article
- Look like you have own copy of OS, but just walled off more thoroughly than normal Unix process. More lightweight than VM
“The Cloud”
Containers
Docker

- Software can be installed on Linux to allow running containers
- Lightweight virtualization, runs on top of normal Linux but uses containers to isolate from other instances
- Uses cgroups, namespaces, union filesystems
- Unlike full virtualization, does not require another copy of the OS
- Also a way of packaging
- Docker swarm – clusters? more like an automatic failover
type situation?

- Written in go

- Difference from virtualization?
  - Doesn’t need full disk image (large)
  - Doesn’t need large reserved memory range
  - Diagram
    (Host-Hypervisor)-(GuestOS/Libs/App)
    (Host-Docker)-(Libs/apps)
Kubernetes

• How to pronounce? Word is Greek for captain
• Originally from google? Lighter version of project borg?
• Pods full of containers that can communicate locally, to communicate remotely through an IP?
• Pods work together, use DNS and can share load
• Can run on top of Docker (but doesn’t have to)
• Also written in go
• Master node, worker nodes
Kubernetes vs Docker

- https://containerjournal.com/2019/01/14/kubernetes-vs-docker
Traditional HPC
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Cloud Tradeoffs

Pros

• No AC bill
• No electricity bill
• No need to spend $$$ on infrastructure

Cons

• Unexpected outages
• Data held hostage
• Infrastructure not designed for HPC
Measuring Performance in the Cloud

First let’s just measure runtime

This is difficult because in virtualized environments
Simplified Model of Time Measurement
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Then you have multiple VMs
So What Can We Do?

Hope we have exclusive access and measure wall-clock time.
Measuring Time Externally

- Ideally have local hardware access, root, and hooks into the VM system
- Otherwise, you can sit there with a watch
- Danciu et al. send UDP packet to remote server
- Most of these are not possible in a true “cloud” setup
Measuring Time From Within Guest

- Use `gettimeofday()` or `clock_gettime()`
- This might be the only interface we have
- How bad can it be?
Cloud Performance Measurement

With High Performance Computing moving to the cloud, virtualization-aware performance measurement tools are a necessity.
Performance API (PAPI)

- Widely-used, Cross-platform, Open-Source Performance Measurement Library
  - Linux, AIX, FreeBSD, Solaris
  - x86, Power, ARM, MIPS
  - BlueGene P/Q, Cray
- Use directly or via high-level tools (TAU, Perfsuite, Vampir, Scalasca, HPCToolkit)
PAPI-V

Virtualization-aware PAPI, or “PAPI-V”’ extends PAPI to be useful in cloud environments.

- Report virtual system info
- Provide enhanced timing info
- Virtualization-related components
- Virtualized Counters
Virtual System Info

- Virtualization vendor obtained via CPUID, reported in `hw_info.virtual_vendor_string`
- Supported by KVM, Xen, VMware, etc.
- Info for user, helps with bug reports
The Timing Problem

- Time is an important component of most performance measurements
- The concept of “time” gets fluid once virtualization is involved
- Ideally you want wallclock time; this is hard to get within a VM guest
PAPI Timing Interface

On Linux the timing functions use the POSIX timer interface

- `PAPI_get_real_usec();`
  ⇒ `clock_gettime(CLOCK_REALTIME);`

- `PAPI_get_virtual_usec();`
  ⇒ `clock_gettime(CLOCK_THREAD_CPUTIME_ID);`
Timing Behavior on Bare Metal

Time to run MMM, Actual Core2 Hardware

- PAPI_get_real_usec()
- PAPI_get_virt_usec()
Timing Behavior on Virtualized System

Time to run MMM, Same Core2, KVM Guest

- PAPI_get_real_usec()
- PAPI_get_virt_usec()
Stealtime

What is needed is a way for accounting for time the VM is scheduled out.

- Since 2.6.11 Linux can provide this *stealtime* information
- It is system wide, not per-process, which makes auto-adjusting PAPI timing measurements problematic
- PAPI 5.0 provides a stealtime component
Timing Adjusted with Stealtime

Time to run MMM, Core2, KVM Guest

- PAPI_get_real_usec()  
- PAPI_get_virt_usec()  
- System Stealtime  
- PAPI_get_virt_usec() adjusted for stealtime
Network Components

PAPI also has components for measuring Network I/O.

- Generic network component
- Infiniband component
- Myrinet component
Infiniband DirectPath Comparison
VMware Component

PAPI supports a component that provides access to VMware-specific interfaces

- pseudo-performance counters – extra timing info via rdpmc
- VMware guest SDK (ESX only) – provides various other performance related measurements, including stealtime
Virtualized Performance Counters

The VM host can virtualize performance counter access by trapping access to the MSRs, and saving/restoring values when suspending/resuming VMs.

- KVM supports this as of Linux 3.2 with a sufficiently recent version of the QEMU/KVM tool (with some limitations)
- Xen supports this as of Linux 3.5
- VMware support is underway