ECE 271 Lab Grading Sheet (Spring 2016)

Session	
Total Lab Score	0

	Lab 1	Lab 2	Lab 3	Lab 4
Total Score				
Initial of Grader				

ts	Requirements	Poor	Fair	Good			
	Completion of Pre-lab Assignments	0	1	2			
	Poor: absent from lab or does not complete pre-lab assignment					•	•
Ī	Fair: complete pre-lab assignment but lacks some details						
(Good: complete pre-lab assignment with details and minimal 90% correct answers						
_							
	Documentation & Maintainability	Poor	Fair	Good			
	Proper indentations, whitespaces, and blank lines, ample and non-redundant comments	0	0.5	1			
(Completion of readme.txt write-up (status, description of something cool, feedbacks)	0	0.5	1			
Ī	Header description (author, program objectives, pin usage, clock frequency)	0	0.5	1			
Ī	Frequent and correct commits with comments in Gitlab	0	0.5	1			
Ī	Program uses constant symbols defined whenever possible	0	0.5	1			
_			-	Total			
	·						
	Functionality & Correctness	Poor	Fair	Good			
	No compilation errors or warnings (except warning L6314W)	0	0.5	1			
Ī	Exhibits all required functionality	0	1	2			
[Concise code (Codes that are unnecessary should be deleted)	0	0.5	1			
Ī	Efficient and robust code	0	0.5	1			
_				Total			
	Lab Time and Demonstration	Poor	Fair	Good			
	Make good use of lab time (Poor: leave before lab is done; Fair: accomplish a few objectives;	0	0.5	4			
	Good: completes all objectives)	O	0.5	1			
	Demo as specified by the lab assignment	0	1	2			
/	Answer TA's questions clearly and demonstrate thorough understanding	0	0.5	1			
(Complete post-lab assignments	0	0.5	1			
_		Total					
	Something Cool	Poor	Fair	Good	 		
	Note: Flashing LED is NOT considered as something cool except Lab 1.	0	1.5	3			
['							
al					 		