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## Announcements

- Midterm on Tuesday March 7th More details when closer
- Will try to post project info soon


## HW\#4 Review - E-Mail headers

- First warning sign - says its from a bank, but the return address is from a Florida dental school Also not a bank of mine
- encrypted and verified from UFL, but sent from videotron.ca cablemodem
- Virus scanned and SPAM scanned, just sort of barely passed
- pop from deater.net via fetchmail (this isn't suspicious, it's the sender not receiver you have to look at)
- LMTP - local mail transport. LHLO. No mail queue, says right away whether deliver mail is possible.
- pdf attached probably had some sort of exploit or phishing document. Didn't open.
- Note, the attachment being listed as "Application" does not mean it's an executable
- e-mail (additional) mention Phishing e-mails, Ransomware


## HW\#4 Review - E-Mail attachment

- was looking for MIME as what's going on
- Also was looking for base64 as the encoding


## HW\#4 Review - DNS

- maine.edu created? What is a Registrar?

2 December 1988, EDUCAUSE

- A / AAAA / NS / MX
130.111.218.23

2607:f8b0:4006:802::2004
nameo.unet.maine.edu / namep ALT4.ASPMX.L.GOOGLE.COM

## The Network Layer

- Also "the internet protocol layer"
- Get packets from source to destination
- May require multiple hops
- Transport Layer runs mostly on the endpoint machine, but Network Layer happens along the routers along way
- Critical, and much more complicated than Link Layer
- Connectivity, Scalability, and Resource Sharing problems


## Network Layer Design Issues

- Should be independent of router tech, should hide topology and num, type of routers
- Need to send packets between any two machines, globally:

1. How to identify a host globally (addressing)
2. How to connect different networks together
3. How to find a path between two hosts

## Internetworking

- Connecting various types of networks (ethernet, 802.11, etc)
- A group of LANs connected together is an inter-network, or "Internet"


## Connection vs Connectionless

## Connectionless / Packet-Switched

- Packets sometimes called Datagrams
- Packets injected into network with no prior setup
- Router responsible for picking how it gets there, routing algorithm
- Router makes "best-effort". Tries to get things there, but if packet gets lost, goes to wrong place, or arrives out of order it doesn't have to do anything about it.
- Example: Internet
- Send/Receive packet primitives.
- Packet ordering/flow control by higher level
- Each packet carry full destination address, as may travel independently of predecessors


## Connection-Oriented

- Virtual circuit created
- Avoid creating a new route for every packet
- A route from source to destination created in all routers along the way
- Each packet carries an ID saying what route it belongs to
- Example: Old POTS telephone land-line network older cell phones?


## Connectionless vs Connection Tradeoffs

|  | Connectionless | Connection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Setup | none | required |
| Addressing | full source + dest | short virt circuit num |
| State | no router state | each virt circuit has state |
| Routing | each packet independent | routing done at startup |
| Router Failure | can route around | all virt circuits terminated |
| QoS | difficult | easy if allocated in advance |
| Congestion | difficult | easy if allocated in advance |

## Routing

- How to you determine what path to take in a network?
- Routing protocols: lead to routing tables
- Routing table is destination paired with next hop
- goals
- minimize routing table space (take up room, also pass around)
- minimize control messages
- robustness (don't want to misroute)
- choices:
- centralized vs distributed
- source-based v hop-by-hop. Source you specify entire path at beginning, hop decides each hop along away
- stochastic vs deterministic - deterministic each hop has one route, stochastic multiple routes, picks randomly
- single vs multiple path - one path or if alternate available
- state-dependent vs state-independent - whether you balance based on load. can be better, but can also lead to problems if choose poorly, also extra overhead


## Routing and Forwarding

- Routing: which routes to use, find shortest path
- Forwarding: looking up which outgoing line to use
- Characteristics: simplicity/efficiency , robustness, stability, fairness, optimality
- Simplicity: packets stored on routers, efficient resource sharing maintain good performance (low delay and packet loss)
- Robustness: cope with changes w/o requiring all jobs stopped and rebooted
- Stability: routing eventually converges on an equilibrium
- Fairness and optimality often conflicting
- Fairness example?
- Unicast routing: point to point
- Multicast routing: one to many or many to many


## Routing Algorithm Types

- Nonadaptive: not based on measurement, but computed in advance. Static routing. sysadmin sets them. Do not adapt well if routers fail.
- Adaptive: change routing decisions to reflect changes in topology and traffic centralized - require global information quasi-centralized (?) distributed - ? hop-by-hop (internet. source routing?)


## Optimal Route?

- What do we optimize? Latency? Throughput? Number of hops?
- Something like ssh might want lowest latency
- Multimedia might want high bandwidth and low jitter
- Often a "cost" is defined based on the desired characteristics, and then this is optimized for


## Optimality Principle

- If router J is on optimal path from I to K, then optimal path J to K is on same route
- Set of all optimal routes from all sources to a destination form a tree rooted at destination, called a "sink tree". Not necessarily unique
- Tree and not a loop, so packets delivered in finite number of hops
- Though routers can come and go so things can go wrong


## (static) Shortest Path Routing

- Number of hops?
- Length (in meters?)
- Transmission delay?


## (static) Link State Routing

- Requires global information, routers broadcast the info so all have consistent view
- Dijkstra Algorithm

Form least spanning tree
Find lowest cost iteratively

- Iterative algorithm, takes $\mathrm{N}-1$ iterations


## Dijkstra Example

Example based on one from Lin/Hwang/Baker

$T=$ set of known machines, $C(X)=$ cost of $X, p(X)=$ previous hop

| Iteration | T | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{B}), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{B})$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{C})$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{D}), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{D})$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{E}), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{E})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | A | $4, \mathrm{~A}$ | $1, \mathrm{~A}$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 1 | AC | $3, \mathrm{C}$ |  | $4, \mathrm{C}$ | $2, \mathrm{C}$ |
| 2 | ACE | $3, \mathrm{C}$ |  | $3, \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| 3 | ACEB |  |  | $3, \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| 4 | ACEBD |  |  |  |  |

## Dijkstra Example Explanation

- Iterative
- Start not knowing anything but direct connections.
- Pick shortest cost and add to set
- Update all the link costs.
- Repeat until all nodes added


## Dijkstra Example, finding routing Table for Node A

- First iteration calculate values for just $A$
- Cost $A$ to $B$ is 4
- Cost $A$ to $C$ is 1
- Cost to D and E unknown
- Next iteration add in C (as it's next shortest latency)
- Cost $A$ to $B$ is $1(A$ to $C)$ plus $2(C$ to $B)=3$. This is less than previous so update
- We don't recalc $A$ to $C$ as both in set
- $A$ to $D$ is $1+3=4$
- $A$ to $E$ is $1+1=2$
- Next iteration add in E (as it's next shortest latency)
- Cost A to B doesn't change (obviously, but why?)
- Cost A to D would be AtoE plus D, so 3, so update
- Next iteration add in B (why?)
- Cost A to D would be AtoB plus D, so 5, which would be longer
- Next iteration add in D, last one, so done


## Now Construct Routing Table

Final routing table for A.

| Path | Cost | Next Hop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-B | 3 | C |
| A-C | 1 | C |
| A-D | 3 | C |
| A-E | 2 | C |

## (static) Flooding

- Every packet sent out on every outgoing line, with a counter (set to the distance) so after so many hops discarded
- Selective flooding, only floods out the connections going in vaguely the right direction
- Very robust (can handle if routers dropping out constantly)
- Flooding always chooses shortest path, as it finds all


## possible paths in parallel

## (dynamic) Distance Vector Routing

- Used by ARPANET until 1979
- Asynchronous, distributed, uses local info
- Each router maintains a table (vector) giving best known distance to each destination and line to use to get there
- First line shows out starting info they all know. Each iteration shows as the info from neighbors is passed on and the routing tables are updated.



## DVR example

1. Start with what you know
2. Send routing table to neighbor
3. Update if find shorter route. This is all happening simultaneously
4. Should converge on Dijkstra.

## DVR example

$\mathrm{D}=$ destination, $\mathrm{C}=$ cost, $\mathrm{N}=$ next hop

|  | A |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Problems with DVR

- Looping problems: packets can get stuck in loops.
- Good news travels fast, bad news travels slowly.


## Good News Travels Fast



- Converges in two steps.


## Bad News Travels Slowly



- A to C line goes down.
- Have bad timing. (Note: really need better description here)
- Everyone swaps routing info
- Initial situation
- C thinks best path to $A$ is E-D-B-A (53)
- E still thinks best path to A is E-C-A (2)
- Swap tables
- C hears $E$ can get to $A$ in 2 , so it updates its table to say $A$ is 3 away (C-E-C-A)
- E learns C-A down so updates to E-D-B-A 52
- Swap tables
- C hears E-A is 52, so it updates its table to 53
- E hears C-A is 3, so it updates its table that E-C-A is 4
- Swap tables
- C hears E-A is 4, so it updates its table so A is 5 away
(note counting up by one each time)
- E hears C-A is 52 , so it updates its table 53 so updates to 52
- Note this will carry on for a while, "counting to infinity"
- As long as there is a valid route it will eventually find it but it might take a while


## Solutions to Counting to Infinity

- Split horizon - a router should not tell neighbor back the least cost it just got from that neighbor
- Poison Reverse - instead of not telling back, should say the cost back to itself is infinity
- These only work for two hop loops. Other options to send additional "next hop" data, or have a "hold down timer" that lets things settle before updating info


## (dynamic) Link State Routing

- Problems with DVR: did not take delay into account, took too long to converge
- Instead, send entire routing table to everyone. Each node then rebuilds own.
- Each router must:

1. Discover neighbors and learn network address
2. Measure delay or cost of each neighbor
3. Construct a packet telling all it learned
4. Send a packet to all other routers
5. Compute the shortest path to all other routers

- Learning about neighbors: sends HELLO packet at boot out all links
- Measure line cost: Send special ECHO packet and measure return. Take into account load?
- Building link-state packets
- Distributing
- Computing new routes


## Hierarchical Routing

- At some point not possible for every router to know about every other
- Split into regions
- Example?


## Internetworking

- Robert Metcalfe (one of inventors of Ethernet) Metcalfe's Law: networks value is the square of the nodes
- Joining networks together of different types
- Might have to convert packets at boundaries
- Or tunnel
- What if packets too big for size limit?
- Fragmentation (difficult)
- Path MTU (Maximum Transmit Unit) discovery

