# ECE 471 – Embedded Systems Lecture 28

Vince Weaver

http://web.eece.maine.edu/~vweaver vincent.weaver@maine.edu

13 November 2020

#### **Announcements**

- Don't forget HW#9
- Midterm next Friday
- Release of Apple Silicon M1 ARM chip



## HW#9 – Modular Code

- What is modular code?
- In C you can compile each C file into its own object file, link together at end
- Each C file can act as self-contained module
- Can make code-reuse easier, can treat like a library
- API defined in a header .h file



- Can be easier to follow code than in one huge program
- Easier when working in shared git repository



# **Another Few Software Disaster Case**Studies



#### **Financial**

Knight Capital. Upgrade 7 of 8 machines, missed last.
 Re-used a flag definition with new software. Caused massive selloff, \$440 million



#### **Power**

- 2003 Blackout
  - Power plant fail. Cause more current down transmission lines in Ohio. Heat, expand, touch tree, short out.
  - $\circ$  Race condition in Unix XA/21 management system, so alarms not go off
  - Eventually primary system fail as too many alarms queue up
  - Backup server also fail



- During failure, screens take 59s (instead of 1s) to update
- Blackout of most of NY and a lot of north east.



## **Good Design Practices**



## **Code Safety Standards**

- Avionics: DO-178C (1992 for B)
- Industrial: IEC 61508 (1998)
- Railway: CENELEC EN 50128 (2001)
- Nuclear: IEC 61513 (2001)
- Medical: IEC 62304 (2006)
- Automotive: ISO 26262 (2011)



#### **Aviation**

- DO-178B / DO-178C
- Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification
  - Catastrophic: fatalities, loss of plane
  - Hazardous: negative safety, serious/fatal injuries
  - Major: reduce safety, inconvenience or minor injuries
  - Minor: slightly reduce safety, mild inconvenience
  - No Effect: no safety or workload impact



#### **Automotive ISO 26262**

- What is a document like this like?
- Vocab and definitions
- Management
- Safety Life Cycle
- Supporting processes
- Safety Analysis
- Risk Strategy
- Severity
  - ∘ S0 − No injuries



- S1 − No injuries
- S2 − Severe injuries
- S3 − Not survive-able
- Exposure
  - E0 Unlikely to Happen
  - 0 ...
  - E4 High probability
- Controllability
  - C0 Controllable
  - 0 ...
  - ∘ C3 Uncontrollable



 Look up those in a matrix so you know how to assess risk, know how important to fix, know what resources to apply



### Medical Response

- IEC 62304 medical device software software lifecycle
  - Quality management system establish the requirements needed for such a device, then design methods to be sure it meets these
  - Avoid reusing software of unknown pedigree (don't just cut and paste from stackoverflow)
  - Risk management determining what all the risks involved are, then determine ways to avoid or minimize them



Software safety classification

Class A: no injury possible

Class B: Nonserious injury possible

Class C: serious injury or death possible

Software sorted into these areas. Class A do not require the same precautions as the others.



#### Other notes

- Top down vs Bottom up Design
  Spec out whole thing and how they work first
  Start with core part and just keep adding to it until it works
- Requirements/Specifications?



## Writing Good (Embedded) C Code

- Various books
- Comment your code!
- Strict, common code formatting (indentation)
- More exact variable types (int32\_t not int) Size can vary on machine, and on operating system
- Subset to avoid undefined behavior



- Tool that enforces the coding standards
- Good to write safe code even if it isn't meant for a safe application. Why? Good practice. Also who knows who or when your code might be copied into another project.



#### **MISRA**

- MISRA: Guidelines for the Use of the C Language in Critical Systems
- Motor Industry Software Reliability Association
- Guidelines: Mandatory, Required, Advisory
- Some sample guidelines
  - Avoid compiler differences int (16 or 32 bit?) int32\_t
  - Avoid using functions that can fail (malloc()) allocate memory at beginning of program not throughout
  - Maintainable code, comments, coding style (see



#### below)

- Compliance
  - All mandatory rules must be met
  - All required rules must have formal deviation
- Deviation
  - Must make a format explanation for why deviation is necessary
  - Prove you've thought about the issue
- MISRA 2012 has 143 rules, 16 directives
- NOTE: YOU CAN STILL WRITE BAD CODE EVEN WHEN FOLLOWING THIS



It just makes it easier to write good maintainable code.



## C Style

- What can C look like?
  IOCCC (International Obfuscated C Code Competition)
- Variable style, CamelCase, under\_score, Hungarian
  Notation (arru8NumberList)
- Indentation (tabs vs spaces)
- Curly braces on same or next line
- Comment style
- Auto-generated documentation from comments



#### **Good Test Practices**

- Unit testing
- Test Driven Development tests written before the code happens, needs to pass the tests before done
- Fuzzing
- Device Hardening?



#### **Good Documentation Practices**

- Comment your code
- Write documentation! Make sure it matches code!
  There are some tools that can auto-generate documentation from special code comments
- Use source control (git, subversion, mercurial)
- Use good commit messages in your source control



## Space Shuttle Design

- https://www.nasa.gov/mission\_pages/shuttle/flyoflyfeature\_shuttlecomputers.html
- Issues normal embedded systems don't have: Vibration at liftoff, Radiation in Space
- If computer stopped for more than 120ms, shuttle could crash
- "Modern" update in 1991: 1MB Ram, 1.4MIPS. Earlier was 416k and 1/3 as fast and twice as big
- Change to code, 9 months testing in simulator, 6 months



#### more extensive testing

- 24 years w/o in-orbit SW problem needing patches
- 12 year stretch only 3 SW bugs found
- 400k lines of code
- $\bullet$  HAL/S high-order assembly language (high-level language similar to PL/I)
- PASS software runs tasks. Too big to fit in memory at once
- BFS backup flight software. Bare minimum to takeoff, stay in orbit, safely land, fits in memory, monitors pASS during takeoff/landing Written by completely different



team.

- 28 months to develop new version
- IBM
- Extensive verification. One internal pass, one external
- 4 computers running PASS, one running BFS
- Single failure mission can continue; still land with two failures
- 4 computers in lock-step, vote, defective one kicked out



## SpaceX Falcon 9

- Linux on dual core x86 systems
- Three each, vote
- Flight software in C/C++
- Dragon displays in Chromium+JS

