# ECE 471 – Embedded Systems Lecture 30

Vince Weaver http://web.eece.maine.edu/~vweaver vincent.weaver@maine.edu

16 November 2022

#### Announcements

- Midterm Friday. Can have one piece of 8.5"x11" paper for notes (single side)
- Project status report due 22nd (Tuesday before Thanksgiving)

Important parts are re-stating the topic, how you're doing, are you OK on parts, and finally which day you'd like to go (early Friday, or M/W/F)

• NASA SLS rocket finally launched late last night. Computer delay, ethernet switch needed replaced?



### HW#7 Review – Code

- People managed merging 2 bytes into one OK
- A lot of trouble converting binary to hexadecimal. Trouble with embedded systems is off-by one values like this can be really hard to debug Note on gcc at least you can enter binary constants like 0b10100101
- Divide by 1023 vs 1024
- What is the max frequency? Last year someone setting to 500kHz by accident, a few degrees different. Data



sheet unclear

- Be sure to check for error on open(), biggest source of errors. Linux won't crash, it will happily just report errors that your code is likely ignoring.
- Errors: exiting. Not print plausibly real invalid values. In our case, printing 0V when actually 3.3V not an issue, but imagine if it were 10,000V and you print 0V



#### HW#7 Review – Questions

- Disadvantage of SPI?
   More wires, no standard, no errors
- Advantage of SPI?
   Lower Power, Full Duplex, No max speed
- TMP36 on end of cable.
   Voltage Drop, Noise?
   Datasheet has two options, convert to current, or an extra resistor.
- Minimum frequency of 10kHz or results invalid. Maybe



cannot go this fast if bitbanging via GPIO. Also context switch in middle, Linux not realtime?



## HW#7 Review – Linux "fun"

- /dev/null
- /dev/full
- $\bullet$  /dev/zero, holes in files
- /dev/random give explanation on sources of randomness (entropy), pseudo-randomness, etc.
- Mention related DOS/Windows compatibility issue with device filenames



#### Homework 8 – Code

- Error checking. Exit if cannot open. If you don't, can segfault if try to fscanf a NULL FILE\*
- Returning -1 on error might be bad idea
- What to report on error? What's an invalid temperature? Not just unlikely? (Below Absolute zero)
- If using streams (FILE \*fff), on fopen() error it returns NULL, not -1.
- Be sure to close files, otherwise leak file descriptors Be careful if multiple exit points, must close at all (goto)



- Be careful with your 9/5 Fahrenheit conversion!
- Finding a file using C. opendir() readdir(), horrible interface

Bit of a tangent on the downsides of the readdir() interface



## HW#8 – Questions

- Why need Vdd? To provide enough current for this particular chip needs extra current if you want parasite mode.
  - You can try without Vdd but you will always read out 85C.
  - Manual suggests MOSFET, but apparently it's possible on Pi if use 4.7k resistor as well as "strong-pullup=y" kernel command line option.
- Because of distance, 1-wire



- shell script
  - o #!/bin/sh should be first line (magic number)
  - Trouble if edit on windows, why (linefeed vs carriage return)
    - shebang description
  - $\circ$  Making executable with chmod
  - Default shell, can put other things there, like python or perl, etc, even ARM emulator
  - sh vs bash



## **Ethics in Software Engineering**

- There's ethics when programming
- What if company wants you to code Dark Patterns?
- Privacy? Data Logging? Tracking?
- Unintentional security leaks: fitness trackers giving away military locations
- Thermostats: forget to change password if move or divorce, others now control your heating
- Amazon/Google devices, always listening in your house
- Web-cameras everywhere



#### How Can You Avoid Bad/Buggy Code?



#### **Code Safety Standards**

- Avionics: DO-178C (1992 for B)
- Industrial: IEC 61508 (1998)
- Railway: CENELEC EN 50128 (2001)
- Nuclear: IEC 61513 (2001)
- Medical: IEC 62304 (2006)
- Automotive: ISO 26262 (2011)



#### **Code Safety Standards**

• Is it easy to get a hold of copies of these?



## Aviation

- DO-178B / DO-178C
- Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification
  - Catastrophic: fatalities, loss of plane
  - Hazardous: negative safety, serious/fatal injuries
  - Major: reduce safety, inconvenience or minor injuries
  - Minor: slightly reduce safety, mild inconvenience
  - No Effect: no safety or workload impact



## Automotive ISO 26262

- What is a document like this like?
- Vocab and definitions
- Management
- Safety Life Cycle
- Supporting processes
- Safety Analysis
- Risk Strategy
- Severity
  - S0 No injuries



- $\circ$  S1 No injuries
- $\circ$  S2 Severe injuries
- $\circ$  S3 Not survive-able
- Exposure
  - $\circ$  E0 Unlikely to Happen

0 ...

- ∘ E4 High probability
- Controllability
  - $\circ$  C0 Controllable

0 ...

 $\circ$  C3 – Uncontrollable



 Look up those in a matrix so you know how to assess risk, know how important to fix, know what resources to apply



#### Medical Response

- IEC 62304 medical device software software lifecycle

   Quality management system establish the
   requirements needed for such a device, then design
   methods to be sure it meets these
  - Avoid reusing software of unknown pedigree (don't just cut and paste from stackoverflow)
  - Risk management determining what all the risks involved are, then determine ways to avoid or minimize them



Software safety classification
 Class A: no injury possible
 Class B: Nonserious injury possible
 Class C: serious injury or death possible
 Software sorted into these areas. Class A do not require the same precautions as the others.



#### **Other notes**

- Top down vs Bottom up Design
   Spec out whole thing and how they work first
   Start with core part and just keep adding to it until it works
- Requirements/Specifications?



## Writing Good (Embedded) C Code

- Various books
- Comment your code!
- Strict, common code formatting (indentation)
- More exact variable types (int32\_t not int) Size can vary on machine, and on operating system
- Subset to avoid undefined behavior



- Tool that enforces the coding standards
- Good to write safe code even if it isn't meant for a safe application. Why? Good practice. Also who knows who or when your code might be copied into another project.



## MISRA

- MISRA: Guidelines for the Use of the C Language in Critical Systems
- Motor Industry Software Reliability Association
- Guidelines: Mandatory, Required, Advisory
- Some sample guidelines
  - $\circ$  Avoid compiler differences int (16 or 32 bit?) int32\_t
  - Avoid using functions that can fail (malloc()) allocate memory at beginning of program not throughout
  - Maintainable code, comments, coding style (see



#### below)

- Compliance
  - $\circ$  All mandatory rules must be met
  - $\circ$  All required rules must have formal deviation
- Deviation
  - Must make a format explanation for why deviation is necessary
  - Prove you've thought about the issue
- MISRA 2012 has 143 rules, 16 directives
- NOTE: YOU CAN STILL WRITE BAD CODE EVEN WHEN FOLLOWING THIS



It just makes it easier to write good maintainable code.



## C Style

- What can C look like?
   IOCCC (International Obfuscated C Code Competition)
- Variable style, CamelCase, under\_score, Hungarian Notation (arru8NumberList)
- Indentation (tabs vs spaces)
- Curly braces on same or next line
- Comment style
- Auto-generated documentation from comments



#### **Good Test Practices**

- Unit testing
- Test Driven Development tests written before the code happens, needs to pass the tests before done
- Fuzzing
- Device Hardening?



#### **Good Documentation Practices**

- Comment your code
- Write documentation! Make sure it matches code! There are some tools that can auto-generate documentation from special code comments
- Use source control (git, subversion, mercurial)
- Use good commit messages in your source control



## Space Shuttle Design

- https://www.nasa.gov/mission\_pages/shuttle/flyo
  flyfeature\_shuttlecomputers.html
- Issues normal embedded systems don't have: Vibration at liftoff, Radiation in Space
- If computer stopped for more than 120ms, shuttle could crash
- "Modern" update in 1991: 1MB Ram, 1.4MIPS. Earlier was 416k and 1/3 as fast and twice as big
- Change to code, 9 months testing in simulator, 6 months



more extensive testing

- 24 years w/o in-orbit SW problem needing patches
- 12 year stretch only 3 SW bugs found
- 400k lines of code
- HAL/S high-order assembly language (high-level language similar to PL/I)
- PASS software runs tasks. Too big to fit in memory at once
- BFS backup flight software. Bare minimum to takeoff, stay in orbit, safely land, fits in memory, monitors pASS during takeoff/landing Written by completely different



team.

- 28 months to develop new version
- IBM
- Extensive verification. One internal pass, one external
- 4 computers running PASS, one running BFS
- Single failure mission can continue; still land with two failures
- 4 computers in lock-step, vote, defective one kicked out



## SpaceX Falcon 9

- Linux on dual core x86 systems
- Three each, vote
- $\bullet$  Flight software in C/C++
- Dragon displays in Chromium+JS

