

ECE 571 – Advanced Microprocessor-Based Design Lecture 9

Vince Weaver

`http://web.eece.maine.edu/~vweaver`

`vincent.weaver@maine.edu`


21 September 2020

Announcements

- HW#1 and HW#2 grades out soon
- HW#3 was posted, RAPL
- Note, the quake benchmark takes a while to run (a few minutes). Don't give up on it.
- Can find info on Intel uops at uops.info



Power and Energy



Definitions and Units

People often say Power when they mean Energy

- Energy – Joules, kWh (3.6MJ), Therm (105.5MJ), 1 Ton TNT (4.2GJ), eV (1.6×10^{-19} J), BTU (1055 J), horsepower-hour (2.68 MJ), calorie (4.184 J)
- Power – Energy/Time – Watts (1 J/s), Horsepower (746W), Ton of Refrigeration (12,000 Btu/h)
- Volt-Amps (for A/C) – same units as Watts, but not same thing
- Charge – mAh (batteries) – need V to convert to Energy



Power and Energy in a Computer System

Power Consumption Breakdown on a Modern Laptop, A. Mahersi and V. Vardhan, PACS'04.

- Old, but hard to find thorough breakdowns like this
- Thinkpad Laptop, 1.3GHz Pentium M, 256M, 14" disp
- Oscilloscope, voltage probe and clamp-on current probe
- Measured V and Current. $P=IIR$. $V=IR$ $P=IV$,
subtractive for things w/o wires
- Total System Power 14-30W
- Old: no LED backlight, no SDD, etc.




Modern results are from CUGR/REU student research.

	Laptop (2004)	Modern	Server?
Hard Drive	0.5-2W	5W	
LCD	1W		
Backlight	1-4W		
CPU	2-15W	60+W	
GPU	1-5W	50+W	
Memory	0.5-1.5W	1-5W	
Power Supply	0.65W		
Wireless	0.1 - 3W		
CD-ROM	3-5W		
USB	(max 2.5W)		
USB keyboard		0.04W	
USB mouse		0.03W	
USB flash		0.5W	
USB wifi		0.5W	




CPU Power and Energy




CMOS Transistors

N-MOSFET



P-MOSFET



CMOS Dynamic Power

- $P = C\Delta VV_{dd}\alpha f$

Charging and discharging capacitors big factor
($C\Delta VV_{dd}$) from V_{dd} to ground

α is activity factor, transitions per clock cycle

F is frequency

- α often approximated as $\frac{1}{2}$, ΔVV_{dd} as V_{dd}^2 leading to
 $P \approx \frac{1}{2}CV_{dd}^2f$

- Some pass-through loss (V momentarily shorted)



CMOS Dynamic Power Reduction

How can you reduce Dynamic Power?

- Reduce C – scaling
- Reduce V_{dd} – eventually hit transistor limit
- Reduce α (design level)
- Reduce f – makes processor slower



CMOS Static Power

- Leakage Current – bigger issue as scaling smaller.
Forecast at one point to be 20-50% of all chip power before mitigations were taken.
- Various kinds of leakage (Substrate, Gate, etc)
- Linear with Voltage: $P_{static} = I_{leakage}V_{dd}$



Leakage Mitigation

- SOI – Silicon on Insulator (AMD, IBM but not Intel)
- High-k dielectric – instead of SiO₂ use some other material for gate oxide (Hafnium)
- Transistor sizing – make only the critical transistors fast; non-critical ones can be made slower and less leakage prone
- Body-biasing
- Sleep transistors



Notes on Process Technology

- 65nm – 2006
p4 to core2, IBM Cell
1.0v, High-K dielectric, gate thickness a few atoms
193/248nm light (UV)
- 45nm – 2008
core2 to nehalem
large lenses, double patterning, high-k
- 32nm – 2010



sandybridge to westmere immersion lithography

- 22nm – 2012 ivybridge, haswell
oxide only 0.5nm (two silicon atoms)
fin-fets
- 14nm and smaller – ??
Extreme UV (13.5nm light, hard-vacuum required)?
Electron beam?



Notes on Process Technology

- TI-OMAP cell phone processor (more or less discontinued by TI, big layoffs in 2012)
Beagle Board and Gumstix OMAP35?? – 65nm
- OMAP4460 (Pandaboard) 45nm
- Cortex A15 28nm
- Rasp-pi BCM2835 – 45nm?




Total Energy

- $E_{tot} = [P_{dynamic} + P_{static}]t$
- $E_{tot} = [(C_{tot}V_{dd}^2\alpha f) + (N_{tot}I_{leakage}V_{dd})]t$



Delay

- $T_d = \frac{C_L V_{dd}}{\mu C_{ox} (\frac{W}{L}) (V_{dd} - V_t)}$
- Simplifies to $f_{MAX} \sim \frac{(V_{dd} - V_t)^2}{V_{dd}}$
- If you lower f, you can lower V_{dd}



Thermal Issues

- Temperature and Heat Dissipation are closely related to Power
- If thermal issues, need heatsinks, fans, cooling



Metrics to Optimize

- Power
- Energy
- MIPS/W, FLOPS/W (don't handle quadratic V well)
- $Energy * Delay$
- $Energy * Delay^2$



Power Optimization



- Does not take into account time. Lowering power does no good if it increases runtime.



Energy Optimization

- Lowering energy can affect time too, as parts can run slower at lower voltages

Which is better?



Energy Delay – Watt/t*t

- Horowitz, Indermaur, Gonzalez (Low Power Electronics, 1994)
- Need to account for delay, so that lowering Energy does not made delay (time) worse
- Voltage Scaling – in general scaling low makes transistors slower
- Transistor Sizing – reduces Capacitance, also makes transistors slower





- Technology Scaling – reduces V and power.
- Transition Reduction – better logic design, have fewer transitions
Get rid of clocks? Asynchronous? Clock-gating?



ED Optimization

Which is better?



Energy Delay Squared– $E*t*t$

- Martin, Nyström, Péntzes – Power Aware Computing, 2002
- Independent of Voltage in CMOS
- $E*t$ can be misleading
 $E_a=2E_b, t_a=t_b/2$
Reduce voltage by half, $E_a=E_b/4, t_a=2t_b, E_a*t_a=E_b*t_b/2$
- Can have arbitrary large number of delay terms in Energy product, squared seems to be good enough



Energy Delay / Energy Delay Squared

Lower is better.



Energy	Delay	ED	ED^2
5J	2s	$10Js$	$20Js^2$
5J	3s	$15Js$	$45Js^2$

Same ED , Different ED^2




Energy	Delay	ED	ED^2
5J	2s	$10Js$	$20Js^2$
2J	5s	$10Js$	$50Js^2$



Energy Example



Energy-Delay Product Redux



Roughly based on data from “Energy-Delay Tradeoffs in CMOS Multipliers” by Brown et al.



Raw Data

Delay	Energy	ED	ED^2
3	130	390	1170
3.5	100	350	1225
3.8	85	323	1227
4	75	300	1200
4.5	70	315	1418
5	65	325	1625
5.5	58	319	1755
6	55	330	1980
6.5	50	390	2535
8	50	400	3200




Other Metrics

- $Energy - Delay^n$ – choose appropriate factor
- $Energy - Delay - Area^2$ – takes into account cost (die area) [McPAT]
- Power-Delay – units of Energy – used to measure switching
- Energy Delay Diagram – [SWEEP]
- Energy-Delay-FIT (reliability?)



Measuring Power and Energy



Why?

- New, massive, HPC machines use impressive amounts of power
- When you have 100k+ cores, saving a few Joules per core quickly adds up
- To improve power/energy draw, you need some way of measuring it



Energy/Power Measurement is Already Possible

Three common ways of doing this:

- Hand-instrumenting a system by tapping all power inputs to CPU, memory, disk, etc., and using a data logger
- Using a pass-through power meter that you plug your server into. Often these will log over USB
- Estimating power/energy with a software model based on system behavior



Measuring Power and Energy

- Sense resistor or Hall Effect sensor gives you the current
- Sense resistor is small resistor. Measure voltage drop.
Current $V=IR$ Ohm's Law, so $V/R=I$
- Voltage drops are often small (why?) so you may need to amplify with instrumentation amplifier
- Then you need to measure with A/D converter
- $P = IV$ and you know the voltage
- How to get Energy from Power?



Hall Effect Current Sensors

- Output voltage varies based on magnetic field.
- Current in wire causes magnetic field
- Voltage output is linear proportional to current
- Ideally little to no resistance (unlike sense resistor)
- Can measure higher current. 5, 20, 30A
- Need that? 100W CPU at 3.3V is roughly 30A




Other Issues

- Matching up internal and external measurements?
- Serial port? ntp? signal?
- Hard for small time intervals.



Existing Related Work

Plasma/dposv results with Virginia Tech's PowerPack



Powerpack

- Measure at Wall socket: WattsUp, ACPI-enabled power adapter, Data Acquisition System
- Measure all power pins to components (intercept ATX power connector?)
- CPU Power – CPU powered by four 12VDC pins.
- Disk power – measure 12 and 5VDC pins on disk power connector



- Memory Power – DIMMs powered by four 5VDC pins
- Motherboard Power – 3.3V pins. Claim NIC contribution is minimal, checked by varying workload
- System fans



PowerMon 2

- PowerMon 2 is a custom board from RENCI
- Plugs in-line with ATX power supply.
- Reports results over USB
- 8 channels, 1kHz sample rate
- We had hardware at UT, but managed to brick it



Shortcomings of current methods

- Each measurement platform has a different interface
- Typically data can only be recorded off-line, to a separate logging machine, and analysis is done after the fact
- Correlating energy/power with other performance metrics can be difficult
- How often can you measure (a lot happens on a CPU at 2GHz)



Watt's Up Pro Meter




Watt's Up Pro Features

- Can measure 18 different values with 1 second resolution (Watts, Volts, Amps, Watt-hours, etc.)
- Values read over USB
- Joules can be derived from power and time
- Can only measure system-wide



Watt's Up Pro Graph



PLASMA Cholesky Factorization N=10,000 threads=2

Measured on Core2 Laptop



Estimating Power

- Popular thing to do. One example: *Real Time Power Estimation and Thread Scheduling via Performance Counters* by Singh, Bhadauria and McKee.
- Have some sort of hardware measurement setup.
- Then measure lots of easy-to-measure things. Performance counters. Temperature. etc.
- Create a model (machine learning?) that can estimate
- Apparently using as few as 4 counters can give pretty good results




RAPL

- **R**unning **A**verage **P**ower **L**imit
- Part of an infrastructure to allow setting custom per-package hardware enforced power limits
- Also for TurboBoost
- User Accessible Energy/Power readings are a bonus feature of the interface



How RAPL Works

- RAPL is *not* an analog power meter (usually, Haswell-EP exception)
- RAPL uses a software power model, running on a helper controller on the main chip package
- Energy is estimated using various hardware performance counters, temperature, leakage models and I/O models
- The model is used for CPU throttling and turbo-boost, but the values are also exposed to users via a model-specific register (MSR)




Available RAPL Readings

- `PACKAGE_ENERGY`: total energy used by entire package
- `PP0_ENERGY`: energy used by “power plane 0” which includes all cores and caches
- `PP1_ENERGY`: on original Sandybridge this includes the on-chip Intel GPU
- `DRAM_ENERGY`: on Sandybridge EP this measures DRAM energy usage. It is unclear whether this is just the interface or if it includes all power used by all the DIMMs too




- SoC energy (skylake and newer?)



RAPL Measurement Accuracy

- Intel Documentation indicates Energy readings are updated roughly every millisecond (1kHz)
- Rotem et al. show results match actual hardware



Rotem et al. (IEEE Micro, Mar/Apr 2012)



RAPL Accuracy, Continued

- The hardware also reports minimum measurement quanta. This can vary among processor releases. On our Sandybridge EP machine all Energy measurements are in multiples of 15.2nJ
- Power and Energy can vary between identical packages on a system, even when running identical workloads. It is unclear whether this is due to process variation during manufacturing or else a calibration issue.




RAPL Validation

- The Dresden Paper
- My MEMSYS paper (include some plots?)



RAPL Power Plot




PLASMA Cholesky Factorization N=30,000 threads=16

Measured on SandyBridge EP




RAPL Energy Plot



Cholesky Factorization N=30,000 threads=16

Measured on SandyBridge EP




NVML

- Recent NVIDIA GPUs support reading power via the NVIDIA Management Library (**NVML**)
- On Fermi C2075 GPUs it has milliwatt resolution within $\pm 5W$ and is updated at roughly 60Hz
- The power reported is that for the entire board, including GPU and memory



NVML Power Graph



MAGMA LU 10,000, Nvidia Fermi C2075



AMD Application Power Management

- Recent AMD Family 15h processors also can report “Current Power In Watts” via the Processor Power in the TDP MSR
- Support for this can be provided similar to RAPL
- Have had bad luck getting accurate readings. Have found various chip errata on fam15h and fam16h hardware



Other ways to measure Power

- IPMI – many server machines have built in (low frequency) measurement of power supply values.
- Thermal? IR camera? Can see how much individual parts of chip use.
Overheat? Use IR transparent liquid to cool it?



Using RAPL

- On Linux, at least 3 ways to get these values
- Read msr directly, either with instruction or `/dev/msr`.
Need root as you can do bad things with msrs. “safemsr”
- `perf_event`
- `hwmon/powercap (/sys/class/powercap/)`



Listing Events

```
$ perf list
...
power/energy-cores/           [Kernel F
power/energy-gpu/             [Kernel F
power/energy-pkg/             [Kernel F
power/energy-ram/             [Kernel F
...
```



Measuring

```
$ perf stat -a -e power/energy-cores/,power/energy-ram/,instru
```

```
Performance counter stats for 'system wide':
```

```
        63.79 Joules power/energy-cores/
         2.34 Joules power/energy-ram/
21038123875      instructions          #      1.06
19782762541      cycles
3.407427702 seconds time elapsed
```



Measuring

- The key is -a which enables system-wide mode (needs root too if not configured as such)
- Why do you need system-wide?
- What does that do to the other metrics?



Power and Energy Concerns

Table 1: OpenBLAS HPL N=10000 (Matrix Multiply)

Machine	Processor	Cores	Freq	Idle Power	Load Power	Time	Total Energy
Raspberry Pi 2	Cortex-A7	4	900MHz	1.8W	3.4W	454s	1543J
Dragonboard	Cortex-A53	4	1.2GHz	2.4W	4.7W	241s	1133J
Raspberry Pi 3	Cortex-A53	4	1.2GHz	1.8W	4.3W	178s	765J
Jetson-TX1	Cortex-A57	4	1.9GHz	2.1W	13.4W	47s	629J
Macbook Air	Broadwell	2	1.6GHz	10.0W	29.1W	14s	407J

1. Which machine has the lowest under-load power draw?

Pi 2



2. Which machine consumes the least amount of energy?

Broadwell Macbook Air

3. Which machine computes the result fastest?

Broadwell Macbook Air

4. Consider a use case with an embedded board taking a picture once every 60 seconds and then performing a matrix-multiply similar to the one in the benchmark (perhaps for image-recognition purposes). Could all of the boards listed meet this deadline?

No, only the Jetson and Macbook Air can meet the



deadline

5. Assume a workload where a device takes a picture once a minute then does a large matrix multiply (as seen in Table 1). The device is idle when not multiplying, but under full load when it is.


(a) Over a minute, what is the total energy usage of the Jetson TX-1?

$$\text{Each Minute} = (13\text{s Idle} * 2.1\text{W}) + (47\text{s Load} * 13.4\text{W}) \\ = 657\text{J}$$

(b) Over a minute, what is the total energy usage of the Macbook Air?



$$\text{Each Minute} = (46\text{s} * 10\text{W}) + (14 * 29.1) = 867\text{J}$$



Pandaboard Power Stats

- Wattsuppro: 2.7W idle, seen up to 5W when busy
- <http://ssvb.github.com/2012/04/10/cpuburn-arm-cortex-a9.html>
- With Neon and CPU burn:

Idle system	550 mA	2.75W
cpuburn-neon	1130 mA	5.65W
cpuburn-1.4a (burnCortexA9.s)	1180 mA	5.90W
ssvb-cpuburn-a9.S	1640 mA	8.2W



Easy ways to reduce Power Usage



DVFS

- Voltage planes – on CMP might share voltage planes so have to scale multiple processors at a time
- DC to DC converter, programmable.
- Phase-Locked Loops. Orders of ms to change. Multiplier of some crystal frequency.
- Senger et al ISCAS 2006 lists some alternatives. Two phase locked loops? High frequency loop and have programmable divider?
- Often takes time, on order of milliseconds, to switch



frequency. Switching voltage can be done with less hassle.



When can we scale CPU down?

- System idle
- System memory or I/O bound
- Poor multi-threaded code (spinning in spin locks)
- Thermal emergency
- User preference (want fans to run less)

