ECE 574 – Cluster Computing Lecture 9

Vince Weaver

https://web.eece.maine.edu/~vweaver

vincent.weaver@maine.edu

25 February 2025

Announcements

- HW#4 was posted, due Friday
- First midterm coming up, March 6th (next Thursday)
- Will post project info soon



Project Notes – Common Topics

- Parallelize some code
 - Parallelizing something from your research
 - Parallelizing some other code you find. Calculating Pi is one possibility (be sure to pick an algorithm that calculates lots of digits)
 - Parallelize non-C code. python (difficult), Matlab (I don't have it installed, you'll have to find other resources). Rust? Go?
- Build an MPI cluster runing Linpack



- This one is doable but there are issues. It's mostly sysadmin/network engineering work. Linpack is a pain, and MPI is tough
- Homogeneous system easiest, install Linux on identical machines
- Heterogeneous possible, maybe bunch of x86 laptops all running Linux
- \circ More hetetogeneous lots of old machines including a mix of x86/arm (raspberry pi). This won't perform well and probably a lot harder to get going
- Hetegogeneous OSes mix of Linux/OSX/Windows.



In theory this is possible but it might be difficult

- Anything GPU related? GPU on Pi? Shader programming?
- Open ended so plenty of other things. Measuring performance. Reliability. etc.



Homework #4 – Coarse Code

- Just make two threads, one for sobelx, one for sobely
- Join at end before calling combine
- This part of the homework is to make sure you understand the basics of pthread programming



Homework #4 – Fine Code

- This is much harder
- You can do this any way you want, but below is a suggested way
- If parallelizing for N threads you need to split up the work N ways
- While it might be tempting to come up with a complex scheme to have x, y, and combine all going at once, it might be best to keep it simple (and that might actually be faster)



- Easiest is to modify one of the loops to only run a subset of the values. For example, the Y loop. On thread0 run from 0..(ysize/N)-1, on thread1 from (ysize/N)..((ysize/N)*2)-1, etc
- You can modify the parameter structure so it takes a start and end value
- Have a loop that starts N threads, and calculates the start/end values above and puts them into the parameter struct before creating the thread
- Be sure for the last end value that you handle workloads not divisible by N



• You will need to have N copies of the parameter struct to use to pass to the N threads. You can't re-use one, as it's global state and you'll have a race condition. See the pthread_join.c example from last class for example code of this being done



General Homework Notes – Copying Files

 For Linux/OSX easiest way to copy files from haswell-ep to your local machine is something like:

```
scp -P2131 ece574-0@weaver-lab.eece.maine.edu:hw03_submit.tar.gz .
```

- On windows WinSCP is widely used
- There are various GUI SCP options for Linux/OSX too but I've never used them so can't particularly recommend any.



OpenMP – Open MultiProcessing

A few good references:

- https://hpc-tutorials.llnl.gov/openmp/
- http://bisqwit.iki.fi/story/howto/openmp/
- http://people.math.umass.edu/~johnston/PHI_WG_2014/OpenMPSlides_tamu_sc.pdf



OpenMP

- Goal: parallelize serial code by just adding a few compiler directives here and there
- No need to totally re-write code like you would with pthread or MPI



OpenMP Background

- Shared memory multi-processing interface
- Main thread with Fork/Join methodology
- C, C++ and FORTRAN
- Industry standard made by lots of companies
- OpenMP 1.0 came out in 1997 (FORTRAN) or 1998
 (C), newst version 6.0 (2024)



OpenMP Compiler Support

- gcc support "recently" (2008?) donated, CLANG even newer
- gcc added support in 4.2 (OpenMP 2.5)
 4.4 (OpenMP 3.0), 4.7 (OpenMP 3.1), 4.9 (OpenMP 4.0), 5.0 (Offloading)
- gcc-14.0 still reports OpenMP 4.5 as its version but it supports some 5.0 features



OpenMP Interface

- Compiler Directives
- Runtime Library Routines
- Environment Variables



Compiler Support

• On gcc, pass -fopenmp

• C: #pragma omp

• FORTRAN: C\$OMP or !\$OMP



Compiler Directives

- Spawning a parallel region
- Dividing blocks of code among threads
- Distributing loop iterations between threads
- Serializing sections of code
- Synchronization of work among threads



Library routines

- Need to #include <omp.h>
- Getting and setting the number of threads
- Getting a thread's ID
- Getting and setting threads features
- Checking if in parallel region
- Checking nested parallelism
- Locking
- Wall clock measurements



Environment Variables

- Setting number of threads (OMP_NUM_THREADS = 4)
- Configuring loop iteration division
- Processor bindings
- Nested Parallelism settings
- Dynamic thread settings
- Stack size
- Wait policy



Simple Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main (int argc, char **argv) {
        int nthreads, tid;
/* Fork a parallel region, each thread having private copy of tid */
#pragma omp parallel private(tid)
{
        tid=omp_get_thread_num();
        printf("\tInside of thread %d\n",tid);
        if (tid==0) {
                nthreads=omp_get_num_threads();
                printf("This is the main thread, there are %d threads\n",
                        nthreads);
        }
```



```
/* End of block, waits and joins automatically */
return 0;
```



Notes on the example

- PARALLEL directive creates a set of threads and leaves the original thread the master, with tid 0.
- All threads will execute the code in parallel region
- There's an implied barrier/join at end of parallel region.
 Only the main thread continues after it.
- If any thread terminates in a parallel region, then all threads will also terminate.
- You can't goto into a parallel region.
- In C++ special rules on throw/catching



parallel directive

- Can you have nested parallel regions? You can but generally will get just 1 thread in second region
- Dynamic threads support? Default disabled?



if

- if you can do a check if (i==0)
 If true parallel threads are created, otherwise serially
- Why do this? Maybe it's only worth parallelizing if N greater than 16 due to overhead, can put if (N>16) then



Variable Scope

- When you enter a parallel section, which variables are thread-local and which ones are globally visible?
- By default: all shared except loop indices
- There are times you may want per-thread data and not globally visible
- You specify in the parallel block how you want all of the variables to behave



Variable Scope – private

- variables that are private
- The value is undefined at start and discarded at end
- think of it as though in the block for each thread a new variable of the same name created and all references to it are replaced by this local version



Variable Scope – shared

- variables seen by all threads
- can be written to by all threads
- Value at end is whatever the last thread wrote to it



Variable Scope – firstprivate

- just like private
- the private variable inside a parallel section ends up with the value it had before the parallel section



Variable Scope – lastprivate

 the variable after the parallel section gets the value from the last loop iteration



Variable Scope – copyin

- you can declare special "Threadprivate" values that hold their value across parallel sections.
- Use this to copy the value in from the master thread.



Variable Scope – default behavior

- You can set the default for all variables
- you can set to shared or none (more on C)
- none means you have to explicitly share or private each var (makes it easier to catch bugs but more tedious)



Setting number of threads

- Evaluation of the parallel if clause
- Setting of the parallel num_threads clause
- Use of the omp_set_num_threads() library function
- Setting of the OMP_NUM_THREADS environment variable
- Implementation default usually the number of CPUs on a node



Thread Numbering

• Threads are numbered from 0 (main thread) to N-1



How do you actually share work?

- Could you use what we've learned to do things manually, like our pthread code?
- Using locks/critical sections and manual picking of ranges based on thread ID?
- Wouldn't it be better if the implementation could do it for us?



Work-sharing Constructs

- Must be inside of a parallel directive
 - do/for (do is Fortran, for is C)
 - sections
 - single only executed by one thread
 - workshare iterates over F90 array (Fortran90 only)



For Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <omp.h>
static char *memory;
int main (int argc, char **argv) {
    int num_threads=1;
    int mem_size=256*1024*1024; /* 256 MB */
    int i,tid,nthreads;
    /* Set number of threads from the command line */
    if (argc>1) {
        num_threads=atoi(argv[1]);
    }
    /* allocate memory */
    memory=malloc(mem_size);
    if (memory == NULL) perror("allocating memory");
```



```
#pragma omp parallel shared(mem_size, memory) private(i,tid)
    {
    tid=omp_get_thread_num();
    if (tid==0) {
        nthreads=omp_get_num_threads();
        printf("Initializing %d MB of memory using %d threads\n",
            mem_size/(1024*1024), nthreads);
    }
    #pragma omp for schedule(static) nowait
    for (i=0; i < mem_size; i++)</pre>
        memory[i]=0xa5;
    }
    printf("Master thread exiting\n");
}
```



For Example Notes

- loop must be simple
 - Integer expressions (nothing super fancy).
 - Comparison must be only regular equals or greater/less.
 - Iterator must be simple increment/decrement or add/subtract.
- Loop iterator should be private. Why? What happens if all threads could update a global iterator?



Do/For



Scheduling - Static

- By default, splits up into $\frac{size}{numthreads}$ chunks statically.
- schedule (static,n) chunksize n
 - assignment of iterations to threads decided once (statically) at start of loop
 - for example, if chunksize 10, and 100 size problem:
 0-9 CPU 0, 10-19 CPU 1, 20-29 CPU2, 30-39 CPU3, 40-49 CPU0.
- But what if some finish faster than others?



Scheduling – Dynamic

- Allocates chunks as threads become free. Can have much higher overhead though.
 - dynamic divided into chunks, dynamically assigned threads as they finish
 - guided like dynamic but shrinking blocksize why do this? When problem first starts lots of big chunks left. But near end probably not even, could end up with one thread getting large chunk and rest none. Better load balancing.



Scheduling – Other

- runtime from OMP_SCHEDULE environment variable
- auto compiler picks for you



Other Options for For

- nowait threads do not wait at end of loop
- ordered loops must execute in order they would in serial code
- collapse nested loops can be collapsed
 if "perfectly nested" meaning nested with nothing inside
 the nests. Compiler can turn this into one big loop



Data Dependencies

Loop-carried dependencies

```
for(i=0;i<100;i++) {
    x=a[i];    /* no dependency (though careful if x is global) */
    a[i]=b[i];    /* probably no dependency but on C can alias */
    a[i]=a[i+1];    /* depends on next iteration of loop */
}</pre>
```



Shift example

```
for(i=0;i<1000;i++)
a[i]=a[i+1];</pre>
```

Can we parallelize this?

Equivalent, can we parallelize this?

```
for (i=0; i<1000; i++)
    t[i]=a[i+1]
for (i=0; i<1000; i++)
    a[i]=t[i]</pre>
```

More overhead, but can be done in parallel



Reductions

- Used when a loop is used to combine a large number of results to one variable
- Common example: vector dot product

```
for(i=0;i<N;i++) {
    dot_product=dot_product+(a[i]*b[i]);
}</pre>
```

- normally this would be bad in parallel, as race on the dot_product value
- with special reduction command the work is split up in chunks before, but at the end these are automatically combined for the final result



Reduction Example

```
for (int i=0;i<10;++i) {
    x = x op expr
}</pre>
```

- expr is a scalar expression that does not read x
- limited set of operations, +,-,*
- variables in list have to be shared



OMP Sections – Another way to make code parallel

```
#pragma omp parallel sections
#pragma omp section
// WORK 1
#pragma omp section
// WORK 2
```

- Will run the two sections in parallel at same time.
- Useful if you have multiple chunks of code that's not a loop but still can run at the same time
- You could implement this with for() and a case statement (gcc does it that way?)

