ECE 574 – Cluster Computing Lecture 10

Vince Weaver https://web.eece.maine.edu/~vweaver vincent.weaver@maine.edu

27 February 2025

Announcements

- HW#5 will be posted, OpenMP
- I sent out HW#3 Grades
- PAPI support for your own computers
 It lags if you're non on a Supercomputer
 Working on getting newer Intel chips (Ice/Alder/Raptor Lake) supported



HW#3 – General Comments

- Please put results in the README file and submit using "make submit"
- Comment your code!
- Don't ignore compiler warnings!
- You can compare your butterfinger results against the provided ones. md5sum can be used for that.
- Issues I saw:
 - You need to saturate to 255 in combine function too sqrt(255*255+255*255) is greater than 255.



If you wrap around in 8-bits your results will be off.
Be sure the borders are 1 to X-1 and 1 to Y-1
You can't use the modulus operator to saturate



HW#3 – Butterfinger Results

Butterfinger was a pet guinea pig from long ago. Note on benchmark images, most famous for image processing "Lena"

time ./sobel ./butterfinger.jpg
output_width=320, output_height=320, output_components=3
SOBELX L3 CACHE MISSES: 1554 CYCLES 9436089
SOBELY L3 CACHE MISSES: 0 CYCLES 9362614
COMBINE L3 CACHE MISSES: 3 CYCLES 6574264

real 0m0.048s user 0m0.024s sys 0m0.004s



- Why 0 cache misses for SOBELY?
 Cache. 320*320*3=307k
 IN, SOBEL_X, SOBEL_Y, COMBINED, so 300k*4 = 1.2MB or so
- Spacestation is 4288*2929*3 = 37MB or so
- Haswell-EP has 20MB of L3 cache
- Reading causes misses to read input in, rest are writing out so while not necessarily hits, with write allocate cache do not seem to be accounted for as misses
- Multiple runs the cache misses are lower, probably due to operating system disk cache



HW#3 – Haswell-EP Brief Cache Overview

- Haswell-EP caches
 - \circ memory 200+ cycles best case
 - 20MB of L3, 20MB, 64B/line (30-60 cycles?)
 - \circ 256kB per-core L2, 64B/line, 8-way (12-cycles)
 - 32kB per-core L2, 64B/line, 8-way (4 cycles)
- Chunks of fast memory close to CPU
- Multiple levels
- Memory broken up into cacheline sized chunks (64-byte on HSW-EP)



- When access an address, all 64-B brought in even if not need rest
- When cache full, something is kicked out to make room (usually oldest)
- Want to take advantage of spatial and temporal locality
- With butterfinger all fits in L3 cache



HW#3 – Earth, Straight implementation of pseudo-code

./sobel ./earth_06_03_2018.jpg output_width=2048, output_height=2048, output_components=3 SOBELX L3 CACHE MISSES: 318,572 CYCLES 559,078,407 SOBELY L3 CACHE MISSES: 285,851 CYCLES 556,456,869 COMBINE L3 CACHE MISSES: 593,838 CYCLES 335,950,332

real 0m0.759s user 0m0.688s sys 0m0.032s

12MB, fits in cache?



HW#3 – Space Station, Straight implementation of pseudo-code

Some perf results, if curious.

./sobel ./space_station_hires.jpg output_width=4288, output_height=2929, output_components=3 L3 CACHE MISSES: 1,135,130 CYCLES 1,670,349,917 L3 CACHE MISSES: 1,125,314 CYCLES 1,638,624,347 L3 CACHE MISSES: 1,751,949 CYCLES 967,758,034

real Om1.741s user Om1.647s sys Om0.048s



perf report

67.88%	sobel	sobel	[.] generic_convolve
20.27%	sobel	sobel	[.] main
0.74%	sobel	[unknown]	[k] 0xffffffffa1e00a27
0.33%	sobel	libjpeg.so.62.2.0	[.] 0x00000000037902
0.27%	sobel	[unknown]	[k] 0xffffffffa1e0015f
0.26%	sobel	libjpeg.so.62.2.0	[.] 0x00000000037912
0.24%	sobel	libjpeg.so.62.2.0	[.] jpeg_fill_bit_buffer

perf annotate (weird that conditional move is at the top)

0.39		add	%r11d,%ebx	
2.86		\mathtt{cmp}	\$0xff,%ebx	
3.57		cmovg	%eax,%ebx	
			(output_image->pixels[(y*output_ima
0.04		mov	(%r12),%eax	
0.78	I	imul	%r14d,%eax	
0.05	I	add	%esi,%eax	



0.42		imul	0x8(%r12),%eax
0.06		mov	0x10(%r12),%rsi
0.69		add	%ecx,%eax
0.18		test	%ebx,%ebx
7.08		cmovs	%edi,%ebx
1.82	I	cltq	

perf annotate last time

sum += filter[0][2]*(input_image->p
0.61 | movslq %r11d,%r11
0.66 | movzbl (%rcx,%r11,1),%esi
| convert():
| return (y*xsize*depth)+(x*depth)+color;
42.22 | lea (%r9,%rbx,1),%r11d
| generic_convolve():



- Conditional move?
- Compiler does wacky things. All mixed up. In-lined the combine routine.
- 4288*2929=36MB (larger than L3)
- If you compile with -march=haswell you'll get much different results, gcc these days can vectorize some stuff with AVX



HW#3 – Loop Order Optimization

- How is an array laid out in memory?
 Row-major (C) vs Column-major (Fortran)
- Default with loop x then y, are actually walking columns. Worst case.
- Switch order of loops, things get a lot better.

time ./sobel_improved ./IMG_1733.JPG output_width=3888, output_height=2592, output_components=3 SOBELX L3 CACHE MISSES: 21,246 CYCLES 882,000,608 SOBELY L3 CACHE MISSES: 19,556 CYCLES 881,998,207 COMBINE L3 CACHE MISSES: 1,241,446 CYCLES 1,183,759,970

real 0m1.181s user 0m1.112s sys 0m0.052s



HW#3 – Loop Unrolling

- Loop unrolling. Unroll the color loop (explicitly do the three things 0, 1, 2 and put the values in.
- Can have benefits. Change all occurrences of "color" to be a constant, which can be optimized.
- Remove branches, which can be slow or mispredicted.
- More code for out-of-order processor to work with and try to do in parallel.
- Downsides: if gets too large: no longer fit in instruction cache or loop stream detector.



HW#3 – Other Optimizations

- Other optimizations, often are things the compiler does for you with -O2.
- Hoisting (move things out of loop that only need to be done once)
- Simplification. Lots of things.
- Try another compiler (clang?)
- Take a compiler class.



HW#3 – Convert to one single Loop

No need to iterate X and Y and Color, just walk through output linearly. Really you have three pointers of input (line above, current line, below).

time ./sobel_improved ./IMG_1733.JPG output_width=3888, output_height=2592, output_components=3 SOBELX L3 CACHE MISSES: 15,703 CYCLES 411,148,087 SOBELY L3 CACHE MISSES: 15,334 CYCLES 411,284,853 COMBINE L3 CACHE MISSES: 1,245,842 CYCLES 1,186,204,125

real 0m0.924s user 0m0.848s sys 0m0.044s



HW#3 – Same for Combine

No need to offset, just start at beginning of x and y and write to output, doing the combine operation.

time ./sobel_improved ./IMG_1733.JPG output_width=3888, output_height=2592, out\$
L3 CACHE MISSES: 16,188 CYCLES 410,983,833
L3 CACHE MISSES: 14,850 CYCLES 411,059,831
L3 CACHE MISSES: 36,652 CYCLES 496,394,104

real 0m0.690s user 0m0.628s sys 0m0.040s



ISRA= interprocedural scalar replacement of aggregates,

- 39.71% sobel_improved sobel_improved
- 24.51% sobel_improved sobel_improved
- 2.41% sobel_improved [kernel.kallsyms]
- 1.23% sobel_improved libjpeg.so.62.2.0
- 1.02% sobel_improved libjpeg.so.62.2.0
- 0.83% sobel_improved [kernel.kallsyms]

- [.] generic_convolve.isra.0
- [.] main
- [k] clear_page_c_e
- [.] jpeg_fill_bit_buffer
- [.] 0x00000000039356
- [k] page_fault



HW#3 – SIMD (SSE/AVX)

- SIMD = Single Instruction, multiple data
 One instruction (say add) can add multiple values at once
- On intel chips SSE, SSE2, etc. Up to AVX/AVX2 on newer systems
- 256-bit wide registers. So sixteen 16-bit values (can do integer), Four 64-bit doubles, etc.



- Large number of these registers, xmm0 (128bit) ymm0 (256bit) zmm0 (512bit on newer machines)
- One way is to program in assembly language with some obscure opcodes: an example PMADDWD 16-bit integer parallel 128-bit multiply and add
- On recent gcc and other compilers there are "intrinsics" to use in C, for example you can use _mm_madd_epi16() to do a PMADDWD instruction



HW#3 – Initial SIMD try

9 values from the three input pointers (16-bit) A B C X D E F X G H I X X X X X The sobel filter values (16-bit) 1 2 3 0 4 5 6 0 7 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 Multiply and add all in parallel A1+B2 C3+0 D4+E5 F6+0 G7+H8 T9+00 0+0 0+0 Rearrange and then do a "horizontal add" A1+B2+G7+H8 C3+T9 D4+E5 E6+0 Another Horizontal Add 0 0 A1+B2+G7+H8+C3+I9 D4+E5+F6 Another Horizontal Add 0 0 0 A1+B2+G7+H8+C3+I9+D4+E5+F6 Convert to 16-bit result, saturate, and be done

The 18 ops (9mul/9add) turned into 4 ops



Problems

- Math is very fast, handfull of instructions
- Problem is getting memory from 3 pointers with 3-byte offsets into registers
- This is a "scatter/gather" problem found often with SIMD (and GPU)
- There are instructions to try to gather the values together, but not really suited for this
- Once you do it manually performance is actually worse than regular code



• Challenge: if picture not multiple of 16-bytes



HW#3 – Improved SIMD – Can we do better?

With many problems: re-think outside the serial box

Load full 16 bytes of pixel info from the three pointers, multiply by the 9 values in sobel filter, shifting right by 3 A * RGB RGB RGB RGB RGB R

B*RGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRC*RGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRD*RGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRE*RGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRF*RGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRG*RGBRGBRGBRGBRGBRH*RGBRGBRGBRGBRRI*RGBRGBRGBRGBRR

RGB RGB RGB RGB RGB R 13 values of result Use compare instruction to saturate in parallel Store out the 13 bytes at once



+

So (18*13) operations reduced to (~20) I think. Still haven't tried this yet



More OpenMP



OMP Sections – Another way to make code parallel

#pragma omp parallel sections

#pragma omp section
// WORK 1
#pragma omp section
// WORK 2

- Will run the two sections in parallel at same time.
- Useful if you have multiple chunks of code that's not a loop but still can run at the same time
- You could implement this with for() and a case statement (gcc does it that way?)



Synchronization functions

- Can manually set up locks
- omp_init_lock()
- omp_destroy_lock()
- omp_set_lock()
- omp_unset_lock()
- omp_test_lock()



OMP Synchronization

 Instead of manually setting locks, can use synchronization directives and OMP will do the hard work for you



OMP Synchronization – Master

#pragma omp master

• OMP MASTER – only master executes instructions in this block



OMP Synchronization – Critical

#pragma omp critical

- OMP CRITICAL only one thread is allowed to execute in this block
- OMP ATOMIC like critical but for only one instruction, a memory access faster



OMP Synchronization – Barrier

- OMP BARRIER force all threads to wait until all are done before continuing
- there's an implicit barrier at the end of for, section, and parallel blocks
- It is useful if using nowait in loops



OMP Flush directive

- #pragma omp flush(a,b)
- Compiler might cache variables, etc, so this forces a and b to be up to date across threads
- TODO: lookup better explanation at how this can happen



OMP – Calling Functions

- can call functions
- functions outside of directives can still have OpenMP directives in them (orphan directives)



Nested Parallelism

- If you have nested loops, which should you put the for directive in front of
- Ideally the one with the most iterations (and usually the outer one?)
- If you loop has fewer iterations than you have cores then some threads may go idle



Collapsing Loops

- can collapse loops if perfectly nested
- perfectly nested means that all computation happens in inner-most loop
- omp_set_nested(2); can enable nesting
- Also collapse(2) in the parameter list
- TODO: read up more on limitations



OpenMP Versions

• 5.0

- \circ task reduction
- not-equals can appear in loop comparisons

• 4.0

- support for accelerators (offload to GPU, etc)
- SIMD support (specify simd)
- better error handling
- CPU affinity
- \circ task grouping



- \circ user-defined reductions
- \circ sequential consistent atomics
- \circ Fortran 2003
- 3.1
- 3.0
 - $\circ \mathsf{tasks}$
 - \circ lots of other stuff



OpenMP Pros and Cons

• Pros

- \circ portable, simple
- can gradually add parallelism to code; serial and parallel statements (at least for loops) are more or less the same.
- Cons
 - Can still have race conditions
 - \circ Runs best on shared-memory systems
 - Requires compiler support (not a problem?)



OpenMP Examples

See the course website for a link to a tarball with all the examples.



Simple

openmp_simple.c

- \circ just creates a parallel region and prints thread number.
- By default, how many threads are set up on the Haswell-EP machine?
- \circ Try with OMP_NUM_THREADS=4



Scope

TODO: private/shared variable example It's hard to make a standalone example that works.



for

openmp_for.c

- Parallelizes the memory init loop.
- Thread number set from command line and the num_threads() directive.
- What happens to performance as you add threads?
- Did some fancier stuff in the verify code



static schedule

openmp_static_schedule.c

- Creates 100 threads with chunksize of 1.
- Threads are assigned loop indices at statically at start of loop
- In example, thread 0 is fastest and 4 the slowest.
- You can see thread 0 runs through its assignment fast and then sits around doing nothing while the rest slowly finish.



dynamic schedule

openmp_dynamic_schedule.c

- Creates 100 threads with chunksize of 1.
- Threads are assigned loop indices dynamically.
- Each thread starts with one, but zero runs all the rest because it is so fast.



Changing Chunksize

openmp_dynamic_chunk.c

- Creates 100 threads with a prime number chunksize.
- Threads are assigned same amount of time to run.
- Spread mostly evenly but the last set of chunks, only two threads get assigned while the others have nothing to do.
- Switch to "guided" and the chunksize decreases over time and the ending is a bit more balanced.



nested for

openmp_for_nest.c

- Looks at which loop you should add the for in front of
- If it's a loop w/o many iterations it limits your nesting



collapsing for

openmp_for_collapse.c

- You can collapse loops
- Useful if not many iterations in outer loop



critical

openmp_critical.c

- Has a parallel loop, but a shared global counter inside.
- What happens without a critical section? (race condition)
- Put in the critical section get right results.
- But slow!
- No need to manually add mutexes, OpenMP abstracts that away.



section

openmp_section.c

- For parallelism when you don't have a loop
- Have multiple functions that have no dependencies, want to run at same time?
- No matter how many threads you have, only can run up to the maximum number of sections at a time.



reduction

openmp_reduction.c

- What if you calculate something in each loop iteration, but want to sum them all in the end? Something like a vector dot product?
- You could put it in a for loop, sum = sum + i * a[i] but race condition on shared sum.
- Could put in critical section but that's slow as we saw.
- Instead can use special reduction directive.



simd reduction

openmp_simd_reduction.c

https://software.intel.com/en-us/articles/enabling-simd-in-program-using-openmp40

- simd directive
- Supported by recent GCC (5.0 and later)
- Tries to map your code into SSE/AVX vector instructions if available on your processor.
- Our example turns out runs *slower*. Possibly our input set is not big enough.
- Can look at assembly code to verify it is making SIMD



code:

objdump --disassemble-all openmp_simd_reduction

Also you can use gcc -S to generate assembly.
 look for pmul and xmm registers



offload

openmp_offload.c

Can in theory offload loops to GPU (or Intel MIC but support for that dropped in most recent gcc)

https://gcc.gnu.org/wiki/Offloading

- Need separate compiler for component.
- Support really isn't there yet(?) verify that



HW#5 Preview

- Will use OpenMP for sobel
- Coarse version use OMP Sections to run sobelx and sobely at same time
- Fine version use OMP for directive to do fine grained parallelism



Brief Midterm Preview

- Will cover speedup and parallel efficiency
- Strong vs Weak scaling
- Shared vs Distributed systems
- Pthreads, OpenMP (probably not MPI)
- No need to memorize Top500 list
- Be aware of computer architecture review, but no questions directly on it
- Will not ask you to code things, but will show you code similar to homework and ask you questions about it

